

#### **Situational Prompts** Solution, Contribution & Innovation

How can you... What solution ....

## If you are... Pretend that you are ...

#### 2023-2024 Writing Prompt Rubric

Indicators	<b>O</b> No Evidence	<b>1</b> Limited Evidence (Response indicates majority of the criteria below)	<b>2</b> Full Evidence (Response indicates the majority of the criteria below)	Score (Record Score in this column)
Questioning/ Processing	No evidence	<ul> <li>Questions/processes are basic and lack specificity</li> <li>Poses closed-ended questions</li> <li>Basic exploration of thought</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Questions/processes are probing and specific</li> <li>Poses opened-ended questions</li> <li>Highly developed/purposeful exploration of thought which challenge assumptions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>-close vs open- ended questions</li> <li>first bullet in Info Processing</li> </ul>
Information Gathering/ Analysis	No evidence	<ul> <li>Irrelevant, illogical, and/or confusing thought processes</li> <li>Superficial information gathering including limited and/or unreliable resources</li> <li>Minimal analysis of topic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Relevant and logical thought processes based on evidence</li> <li>Information gathering includes multiple methods of collection including valid and reliable resources</li> <li>In-depth analysis and synthesization of topic</li> </ul>	
Fluency/ Originality of Ideas	No evidence	<ul> <li>Generates few ideas (1 or 2) specific to the topic</li> <li>Minimal explanation of ideas</li> <li>Ideas lack originality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Generates multiple ideas (3 or more) specific to the topic</li> <li>Provides clear and concise explanation of ideas</li> <li>Ideas are original and demonstrate unique viewpoints</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>specified numbers</li> <li>Resourcefulness</li> </ul>
Presentation/ Reasoning	No evidence	<ul> <li>Presents ideas in a poorly organized manner which does not directly address the topic and/or has minimal practical application</li> <li>Presents vague evidence of meaningful possibilities</li> <li>Minimal acknowledgement or pursuit of a counter argument</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Presents ideas in a clear and well-organized manner which directly addresses and can be applied to the topic</li> <li>Presentation provides detailed/clear descriptions of meaningful possibilities</li> <li>Clearly presents and/or pursues counter argument(s)</li> </ul>	• Open Mindset, Problem Solving and Info Processing
Point of View/ Perspective	No evidence	<ul> <li>Describes potential impact on limited audiences or audiences that would only be minimally impacted</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Describes impact a wide range of audiences or one where the solution would have a major impact</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audience impact</li> </ul>
Total 10				



Literacy, STEM & Test Prep for the Gifted





**Problem &** Research Questions



Methodologies & **Tools to Test the Hypothesis** 



## Goal, Purpose & **Hypothesis**



**Possible Findings**, **Data Presentations** and/Alternative Approaches



Your Work

How does your proposal fit into the current studies



### Cite sources



# **Sample Language Stems**

Some scientific questions that I will initially ask to guide my research are:

In the research journal, Dr. \_\_\_\_ studied (logos). Dr. \_\_\_ found out that \_\_\_\_ Another source is . The IUCN criteria for extinct/extinct in the wild/endangered are \_\_\_\_. The Y species \_\_\_\_\_ based on the IUCN is currently \_\_\_\_. According to the US Fish and Wildlife Endangered Species Act,



# Sample Language Stems

Delisting a species from the endangered list is a complex scientific process in conservation biology. It involves careful study and analysis to ensure the species has recovered and is no longer at risk of extinction, or the other way around, extinct. Scientists use various methods/processes to assess the population size, habitat quality, and species threats. They also consider the effectiveness of conservation efforts and the species long-term prospects. They conduct population surveys, genetic analyses, and habitat assessments to gather data on the species' population size, genetic diversity, and habitat suitability.



# Some questions to ask yourself

- What do we know about the topic?
- What open questions and knowledge do we still need to learn?
- Why is this information important?



# QUESTIONING/PROCESSING

- questions/processes are probing and specific
- open-ended questions
- highly developed/purposeful exploration of thoughts that challenge assumptions.

## **Closed Questions**



• single short answers

• ends conversation

• opens conversation



## long answers

## **Closed Questions**

Has the monitoring of the restoration project been performed?

Was the project part of the larger national restoration?

When was the X species last seen in Y place?

What was the funding source of the previous conservation project?

Who was involved in the conservation project in the Y community?

How do ecological corridors affect the population size of species X living in fragmented habitat Y?"

How does environmental education influence the behavior of the local community Z toward species Χ?"

What benefits can an ecotourism program bring to local community Z?

How are ecotourism visitors in area Y affecting the population density of species X?"

## **Open-Ended** Questions



# Testable Questions





TABLE 1. Constructing a hypothesis.

A hypothesis is a testable explanation of an observed occurrence in nature, or, more specifically, *why* something you observed is occurring. Hypotheses relate directly to research questions, are written in the present tense, and can be tested through observation or experimentation. Although the terms "hypothesis" and "prediction" are often incorrectly used interchangeably, they refer to different but complementary concepts. A hypothesis attempts to explain the *mechanism* underlying a pattern, while a prediction states an expectation regarding the results. While challenging to construct, hypotheses provide powerful tools for structuring research, generating specific predictions, and designing experiments.

### **Example:**

*Observation:* Brown-headed cowbird nestlings refrain from ejecting host offspring from the nest even though those offspring compete for limited parental resources.

*Research question:* Why do nestling cowbirds tolerate the presence of host offspring in the nest? *Hypothesis*: The presence of host offspring causes parents to bring more food to the nest. *Prediction:* Cowbird nestlings will grow at a faster rate in nests that contain host offspring.

# Goal, Purpose & Hypothesis

**However,** other wildlife biologists discovered that (other sources), so I would \_\_\_\_\_. Delisting an endangered species from the Endangered Species list prematurely would result in XYZ reasons because XYZ justifications. There were also reported \_\_\_\_\_, according to \_\_\_\_\_. Another point of view might be XYZ's alternative viewpoint and XYZ's alternative reasons.

Some of my hypotheses/My hypothesis is \_\_\_\_

My purpose is/Some of my objectives are\_\_\_\_.

# Methodologies & Tools to Test the Hypothesis

TABLE 2. Common parameters included in the Materials and Methods section.

• Site characterization:

Study organism used, its origin, any pre-experiment handling or care Description of field site or site where experiment was performed

• Experimental design:

Step-by-step procedures in paragraph form

Sample preparation

Experimental controls

Equipment used, including model numbers and year

Important equipment settings (e.g., temperature of incubation, speed of centrifuge)

Amount of reagents used

Specific measurements taken (e.g., wing length, weight of organism)

• Statistical analyses conducted (e.g., ANOVA, linear regression)



Once the data is collected, scientists evaluate it using statistical models and peer-reviewed research. This rigorous approach ensures that decisions to delist a species are based on sound scientific evidence. (sample only based on your readings.)

By comparing these data to the criteria set by the government, wildlife biologists can determine if a species is no longer at risk of extinction. If the species meets the requirements or standards, a proposal is submitted to the government for review. The government then evaluates the proposal and decides whether or not to delist the species. The scientific method ensures that decisions to delist species are based on sound evidence and not influenced by personal biases or political agendas.

Based on recent research (cite source) about Y species, Dr. \_\_\_(logos) found out that\_\_\_\_. The team used field surveys and observation tools such as \_\_\_\_\_ (cite them). Another biologist, on the other hand, used \_\_\_\_\_, and they found out that \_\_\_\_\_. Contrary to these findings, another team discovered in X date that \_\_\_\_, and their methodology was \_\_\_\_.

Based on these studies, I would use \_\_\_\_\_ methodologies, and I will utilize \_\_\_\_ tools to do my research. My hypothesis is/are \_\_\_\_.

The processes that the biologists use are \_\_\_\_\_. I will use \_\_\_\_\_. Discuss the methodologies. Discuss your methods and tools in this paragraph and provide evidence or sources like previous journals or studies done by wildlife biologists. Verbalize your thought processes as to why you would do the same thing or reasons you would do them differently.

## Possible Findings, Data Presentation & Alternative Approaches







# Sample Language Stems

Could you discuss other wildlife biologists' findings in this paragraph and a summary? These would serve as your evidence. Transition sentence..... (Similarly, Unfortunately, etc.) Discuss your hypothesis and some scenarios if your findings might not go what you expected or your hypothesis proved untrue. Discuss how you would modify your experiment or your decision. Discuss how other scientists analyze their data or synthesize their data. Discuss yours – how would you synthesize your data?

Closing sentence here. Thanks to the efforts of \_\_\_\_- and the collaboration of many scientists (cite your source or identify the team), several species were successfully delisted from the endangered list (or the other way around). It was a reminder that with proper conservation measures and collective action, we can make a difference in saving our planet's incredible wildlife. Please write your conclusion.

Short closing sentence. Apply the "ending strategies" from our writing techniques.



